

Serial No. 10/762,330

Docket No.: 1046.1306

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re the Application of:

Satoru TANAKA

Serial No. 10/762,330

Group Art Unit: 2132

Confirmation No. 4953

Filed: January 23, 2004

Examiner: Benjamin E. LANIER

For: SECURITY MANAGEMENT DEVICE AND SECURITY MANAGEMENT METHOD

**AMENDMENT**

Commissioner for Patents  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

A Request for Continued Examination is being filed along with this Amendment and a Request for Suspension of Action under 37 CFR 1.103(c). A Declaration under 37 CFR 1.131 is submitted, along with a Japanese invention disclosure declaration (1 page) including the specification which was written by inventor (5 pages) (submitted concurrently herewith as Attachment attached hereto), along with an English translation thereof, which establishes Sobel is not a valid prior art reference. The Japanese invention disclosure declaration and specification written by the inventor in which the deleted dates are prior to the effective date of Sobel are submitted concurrently herewith, thus, this Amendment complies with 37 CFR 1.114 as a submission of new evidence in support of patentability by overcoming the effective date of Sobel (MPEP 715). Applicant requests suspension of action to afford time for English translation of the Japanese invention disclosure declaration (1 page) including the specification which was written by inventor (5 pages) (submitted concurrently herewith as Attachment attached hereto) and preparation of an English Declaration under 37 CFR. 1.131 as new evidence of patentability by the inventor who is in Japan. See also remarks below.

This is in response to the final Office Action mailed November 16, 2007, and having a period for response set to expire on February 16, 2008. A Petition for a 3-month extension of time, together with the requisite fee for same, is submitted herewith, thereby extending the period for response to May 16, 2008.

The following amendments and remarks are respectfully submitted. Entry of the claim amendments and reconsideration of the amended claims is respectfully requested, because this Amendment complies with 37 CFR 1.114 by filing an RCE.